

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA
ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

RAYMOND WEEDE,
Petitioner

CIVIL ACTION
NO. CV08-1738-A

VERSUS

MICHAEL P. MUKASEY,
Respondents

JUDGE D. "DEE" DRELL
MAGISTRATE JUDGE JAMES D. KIRK

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE

_____Before the court is a petition for writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 by petitioner Raymond Weede ("Weede") on November 16, 2008. Weede, a native and citizen of Liberia, contests his continued detention by the Bureau of Customs and Immigration Enforcement ("BICE") pending his removal from the United States. At the time of filing his petition, Weede was being detained in the Lasalle Parish Detention Center in Trout, Louisiana. The sole relief requested by Weede is release from custody pending his removal, pursuant to Zadvydas v. Davis, 533 U.S. 678, 121 S.Ct. 2491 (2001).

_____In their motion to dismiss (Doc. 10), the Respondents show, through an affidavit by Scott Sutterfield, Acting Deputy Field Office Director or the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE") facility at Oakdale, Louisiana, that Weede was released from ICE custody pursuant to an order of supervision on February 6,

2009 (Doc. 10). Respondents contend Weede's petition is now moot and should be dismissed.

Since the only relief sought by Weede in his habeas petition was release from custody pending his removal from the United States and he was released under supervision in February 2009, Respondents' motion should be granted and Weede's habeas petition should be dismissed as moot.¹

Conclusion

____Based on the foregoing discussion, IT IS RECOMMENDED that Respondents' motion to dismiss (Doc. 10) be GRANTED and that Weede's habeas petition be DISMISSED AS MOOT.


Under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(c) and Fed.R.Civ.P. 72(b), the parties have **ten (10) business days** from service of this Report and Recommendation to file specific, written objections with the Clerk of Court. A party may respond to another

¹ It is also noted that an order from this court mailed to Weede at the Lasalle Parish Detention Center on January 8, 2009 (Doc. Item 9) was returned to the Clerk of Court on January 15, 2009, stamped "Returned to Sender. No Longer Here." Another letter from this court was mailed to Weede on February 13, 2009 (Doc. 12), and was returned to the Clerk of Court on February 20, 2009, marked "Return to Sender. No Longer Here." Weede has failed to provide the court with his new address. Local rule LR41.3W provides that the failure of a pro se litigant to keep the Court apprised of an address change may be considered cause for dismissal for failure to prosecute when a notice is returned to the Court for the reason of an incorrect address and correction is not made to the address for a period of 30 days. Weede's failure to inform the court of his address change within 30 days provides another ground for dismissal of his habeas petition.

party's objections within **ten (10) days** after being served with a copy thereof. A courtesy copy of any objection or response or request for extension of time shall be furnished to the District Judge at the time of filing. Timely objections will be considered by the district judge before he makes a final ruling.

A PARTY'S FAILURE TO FILE WRITTEN OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT WITHIN TEN (10) BUSINESS DAYS FROM THE DATE OF ITS SERVICE SHALL BAR AN AGGRIEVED PARTY, EXCEPT ON GROUNDS OF PLAIN ERROR, FROM ATTACKING ON APPEAL THE UNOBJECTED-TO PROPOSED FACTUAL FINDINGS AND LEGAL CONCLUSIONS ACCEPTED BY THE DISTRICT JUDGE.

THUS DONE AND SIGNED at Alexandria, Louisiana, on this 28th day of April 2009.


JAMES D. KIRK
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE